

Sensitivity of soil property prediction obtained from VNIR/SWIR Lab data to spectral configurations

K.R.M. Adeline¹, C. Gomez¹, N. Gorretta², J.-M. Roger²

¹ IRD, UMR LISAH (INRA-IRD-SupAgro), 34060 Montpellier, France

² IRSTEA, UMR ITAP, 34196 Montpellier, France

Contact: Karine.adeline@supagro.inra.fr

1) CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES: Soil reflectance spectra measurements: ASD (Analytical Spectral Devices Inc.) Research in agriculture precision and environmental monitoring leads to the observation of several physical and biophysical processes in the soil conditions that requires at least to know the soil structure and composition. Thereafter, the use of VNIR-SWIR Laboratory spectroscopy (350-2500nm) has - spectral range: 350-2500nm - number of spectral band: 1961 (= N_{init}) be a good alternative to costly physical and chemical laboratory soil analysis. As well, the - spectral sampling: 1nm number of studies using VNIR-SWIR hyperspectral airborne imaging in soil property mapping has also Physico-chemical analysis for 4 soil properties increased (e.g. [1]). The main issue is now to achieve to transfer these promising results to future satellite Clay content (granulometric fraction < 2µm) and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UVA) data. As such, the objective of this study is to assess the sensitivity - Calcium Carbonate (CaCO3) of soil property prediction results to different spectral configurations (including the spectral resampling - Iron oxides [2], the spectral resolution and the number of spectral bands); which may offer a first insight of the potential of future hyperspectral UAV and satellite sensors (i.e. HYPXIM, PRISMA, Shalom, ENMAP and HyspIRI) for soil applications and mapping. - pH Correlation relationships between the soil properties: Lebna Peyne 2) MATERIALS: aCO3 Study area: 2 Mediterranean sites with different soil environments Soil property distribution among site (T: Lebna, P: Peyne): Site n°1: 2 Iron (g/100g): pH: CaCO3 (g/kg): Peyne, in France (0.91km2) Clay (g/kg): Site n°2: Lebna, in Tunisia (300km2) · Collection of in-situ soil samples (site, number, year): Peyne, M = 148, 2010 - Lebna, M = 262, 2008-2009-2010 L > P L << P L ~ P L > P 3) METHODOLOGY: • A spectral configuration is defined by 3 parameters, the number of spectral bands (N), the spectral resolution (FHWM) and the spectral interval sampling (SI). A gaussian shape filter is used for resampling the soil spectra from 440-2400nm. Original Lab spectra database (N_{init}, M) • The hyperspectral configurations have FHWM = SI, except for the reference Init_1/1 (ASD spectra) data 3/10 SI (VNIR) SI (SWIR) FHWM (V Spectral Spectral configuration application Hyperspectral: N, FHWM, SI Multispectral : sensor specifications 10 10 10 processing ¥ HWM (SWIR Choice of soil property (Clay, CaCO₃, Iron, pH) + The multispectral configurations are based on the satellite specifications spectral filters of ASTER and LANDSAT-7 Data Calibration/Validation database separation ASTER spectral specifications (N=9) LANDSAT-7 spectral specifications (N=6) preparation 560 660 810 1650 2165 2205 2260 2330 2395 80 60 100 100 40 40 50 70 70 480 565 660 825 1650 2220 65 80 60 150 200 260 Spectral bands Spectral bands Spectral resolution + Preprocessing of soil pectra and Calibration ectral resolution utliers removal 2/3 of total samples (M) are selected for calibration and 1/3 for validation HYPEF Hyperspectral or multispectral ? The spectra reflectance are converted into absorbance and the data are mean-centered • Outliers are removed after Principal Component Analysis and Mahalanobis distance computation PLSR learning/prediction FOR LV=1:LV MULTI Data processing B-coeff., PRESS Selection of LV_{oot} Multivariate Linear Regression (MLR) is performed with multispectral configurations and Partial Least Square Regressions (PLSR) for hyperspectral ones to deal with collinearity variable issues The selection of the optimal number of Latent Variable (LV_{opt}) is assessed by observing PLSR prediction over a given range of LV (LV_{max} set to 10) based on 2 criteria: the minimum of Prediction Residual Error Sum of Squares (PRESS) and the divergence Bootstrap for MLR learning / predictio Bootstrap for PLSR learning / prediction of the PLSR coefficients (b-coeff.) Accuracy measures ², RMSE, bias, variance The prediction accuracy measures are computed with the boostrap procedure applied on MLR/PLSR learning and prediction with a repetition of 99 (N_{boot}) Determination coefficient for the prediction of soil properties over Spectral correlation coefficient among the 4) RESULTS: Site the validation dataset for the two test sites: M samples for the two test sites: For LANDSAT configuration, prediction is inaccurate for Iron, pH and CaCO₂ (lack) of the 2340nm band), except for Clay (P) with a high mean content and distribution 0.9 0.8 No significant degradation from ASD initial configuration to hyperspectral 0.7 configurations until ASTER configuration for predicting soil properties with high R²VAL mean content/distribution and with a spectral signature such as Clay (P+L). Iron 0.5 Peyne (P+L) and CaCO3 (P) Vavel 0.4 (P) R² Soil properties having a short spectral absorption feature are sensitive to 0.3 the spectral resolution and central band such as CaCO3 (P+L) between Init_1/1, 0.2 - Clay -CaCO3 pH Config_3/10 and Config_ASTER 0.1 Wavelengths · Soil properties with weak mean/distribution are unpredictable like CaCO3 (L) and pH (L), also if they do not have a spectral signature like pH 0.9 0.8 The prediction performances of soil properties without spectral signature 0.7 (pH) decrease along the configurations since the number of spectral bands Vavelengths 0.6 decreases 0.5 Lebna The role of correlation relationships between soil properties can increase 0.4 prediction performances like between pH (P) and CaCO3 (P), as well as (L) 0.3 correlation between spectral bands among spectra 0.2 0.1 5) CONCLUSIONS: Wavelengths · Prediction performances are dependent of the initial soil property mean content and distribution, soil property correlation relationships, and correlation between Configuration: Init 1/1 spectral bands that could be site-specific **REFERENCES:** · Following the good results of multispectral scenarios (ASTER), are Gomez, C., Lagacherie, P., Coulouma, G., 2008. Continuum removal versus PLSR method for clay and calcium carbonate content estimation from laboratory and airborne hyperspectral measurements. Geoderma, 148(2), pp.141-148. [2] Peng, X., Shi, T., Song, A., Chen, Y., Gao, W., 2014. Estimating Soil Organic Carbon Using VIS/NIR Spectroscopy with SVMR and SPA Methods. Remote Sensing, 6(4), pp. 2699-2717. spectroscopic instruments over-designed for soil characterization? A solution might be spectral feature selection [3]

Perspectives: impact of spectral configurations to hyperspectral airborne data for soil property mapping

(3) Vohiand, M., Ludwig, M., Thiele-Bruhn, S., Ludwig, B., 2014. Determination of soil properties with visible to near- and mid-infrared spectroscopy. Effects of spectral variable selection. Geoderma, Vol.223-225, pp.88-96.